



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/619,649	03/27/1996	RADOJE DRMANAC	ARCD:146/BOW	7575
<div>7590 04/25/2008</div> <div>MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN MURRAY & BORUN</div> <div>6300 Sears Tower</div> <div>233 South Wacker drive</div> <div>Chicago, IL 60606-6402</div>				
EXAMINER				
FORMAN, BETTY J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1634				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/25/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/619,649

Applicant(s)

DRMANAC, RADOJE

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BJ Forman(exr).

(3)_____.

(2) Joseph Williams (attv).

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 24 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 97 and 166.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Williams was informed that the specification (description of Fig. 2A, page 19) provides support for the "physical barrier" of Claim 97 and therefore the new matter rejection will be withdrawn. The cited references were discussed in view of the claims and instant specification. It was suggested that the claims be amended to further define structural elements of the invention so as to define the invention structurally over the art. No agreement was reached..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/BJ Forman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required